

ZIONIST PRESSURE

(Continued from Page 2)

of the struggling nation." The election of the so-called State of Israel as a member of the United Nations speaks for Cardinal Spellman's success in Mr. Silver's assignment.

The publication of Mr. Silver's "confession" created comment about Cardinal Spellman's intervention. The comments were not favorable as a rule. The Vatican had never recognized the so-called State of Israel. Cardinal Spellman could hardly plead ignorance of the Vatican's refusal to do so.

In spite of that fact, Cardinal Spellman accepted the assignment to intervene with the South American countries "to share his fond wish" that the so-called State of Israel be admitted as a member of the United Nations. Catholics and others of all religions throughout the world regarded that assignment behind the back of the Vatican as a double-cross of the Vatican by Cardinal Spellman. There must have been a compelling reason why Cardinal Spellman kept his participation secret for 15 long years.

The Vatican had resisted strong pressure to recognize the so-called State of Palestine. Powerful pressure was exerted to have the Vatican validate that Palestine crime. The Vatican resisted all pressure for reasons the Vatican regarded as good and sufficient. I reviewed those reasons with Cardinal Spellman a long time before His Eminence intervened with the South American countries. I knew nothing about that until I read about it in The New York Times on May 11, 1964. Cardinal Spellman was as convinced as the Vatican that every justification alleged for the creation of the so-called State of Israel was either an untruth, a half truth or a distortion of spiritual or historic fact.

Cardinal Spellman was undoubtedly under great obligation to Mr. Silver when His Eminence accepted the assignment to intervene for Mr. Silver with the South American countries. With what Cardinal Spellman had learned from talks with me about the background of the so-called State of Israel, it requires quite a stretch of my imagination to picture Cardinal Spellman intervening with the South American countries believing himself to be doing the right thing by the Christians and Moslems in the Holy Land. Cardinal Spellman has never since May 11, 1964 satisfied my curiosity why His Eminence intervened with the South American countries.

Mr. Silver is an outstanding citizen. I first met Mr. Silver when we were boys more than fifty years ago. He dedicated his life to public service at great sacrifice to his personal interests. Cardinal Spellman became a close friend of Mr. Silver in the years they worked together closely in their respective campaigns to raise funds for each other's "favorite charities." It is not possible for me to tell who helped the other's "favorite charities" the most without access to their records. But I feel reasonably safe in saying that Mr. Silver's efforts on behalf of Cardinal Spellman's "favorite charities" proved exceedingly fruitful.

Under normal circumstances, Rabbi Abba Hillel Silver, the "spearhead of Zionism in America" as Mr. Silver's "confession" describes him, could have appealed directly to Cardinal Spellman, as one great religious leader to another great religious leader, in the spirit of their interfaith relationship, instead of seeking Mr. Silver's assistance. If he only wanted a "statement from Cardinal Spellman supporting Israel's cause in United Nations," as stated in Mr. Silver's "confession," I feel sure that Cardinal Spellman would have gladly given that "statement."

Rabbi Abba Hillel Silver, as the spearhead of Zionism in America, saw no possibility to elect the so-called State of Israel a member of the United Nations. Rabbi Abba Hillel Silver's United Nations contacts advised him that the South American votes were needed, without their votes the so-called State of Israel could not be elected a member of the United Nations. Their votes were vital.

Cardinal Spellman should make every effort to undo what he has done before more Arab blood is spilled in the Middle East. The Arab blood that was spilled in the Middle East is figuratively on Cardinal Spellman's head. Palestine would be today a peaceful,

progressive and prosperous Middle East sovereign state if Cardinal Spellman did not validate the Palestine crime by intervening with the South American countries to admit the so-called State of Israel to the United Nations as a member. There will be more Arab blood spilled in the Middle East when today's grave crisis reaches its climax.

Cardinal Spellman was always a great anti-Communist. As a result of intervening with the South American countries, the Soviet Union has moved into the Middle East and the United States has been driven out of the area. Russia's age-old dream of domination of the eastern Mediterranean is about to come true, thanks to Cardinal Spellman. Cardinal Spellman performed a great disservice for the United States when His Eminence intervened with the South American countries to validate that Palestine crime.

Cardinal Spellman has been difficult to understand at times. Cardinal Spellman favors the war effort in Vietnam to defend the right of the indigenous population to exercise its inalienable right of self-determination. On the other hand, Cardinal Spellman supported the armed uprising by the transplanted alien minority in Palestine which denied the indigenous Christian and Moslem population their inalienable right to remain in their ancestral homeland. Cardinal Spellman should explain why the Christian and Moslem indigenous Palestine population should be denied the right to exercise their inalienable right of self-determination in their ancestral homeland for over 1,700 years.

The United States representative in the United Nations keeps repeating that the United States is committed to defend ALL United Nations members. It is reasonably certain that Ambassador Goldberg, as a Supreme Court Justice, would have declared illegal any agreement entered into by the method employed to admit the so-called State of Israel to the United Nations as a member.

Ambassador Goldberg will find it difficult to explain how an armed uprising by transplanted aliens can be admitted to United Nations as a peace-loving state. Ambassador Goldberg can easily ascertain that the admission of the so-called State of Israel to the United Nations as a member was as crooked as a ram's horn from start to finish. Certainly, East Germany, North Korea and mainland China are more entitled to be admitted to the United Nations as members.

The Middle East policy of the United States might be different if political office-holders and office-seekers were not so much concerned with their own elections. As long as the 3% of the population of so-called Jews can dictate who will govern 95% of the population, the United States is doomed to perish. The nation was never told that Palestine still exists. The 3% of the population conceals from the nation that the United States should be fighting for recognition of the lawful and sovereign state of Palestine, and not acting as a wet-nurse for the so-called State of Israel. Palestine still exists, no illegal act can blot out Palestine. Like icing poured over a cake, the so-called State of Israel was superimposed on Palestine, BUT THE CAKE IS STILL THERE !!

The United States should cease playing the role of a hypocrite in the eyes of the world. United States support of the so-called State of Israel has cost the United States every friend it ever had. It is later than this nation thinks. The United States may soon need friends. There is not much time to lose.



Unconstitutional Maneuvering Of U.S. Into Foreign Wars

By ALTON THATCHER

When in April, 1917, he recommended to the Congress that that body declare that a "state of war" then existed between Germany and the United States, President Woodrow Wilson said at the same time that such a declaration of war by this government was unconstitutional. Yet, Wilson and his advisors had to make the U.S. entry into the European conflict appear "legal" to fool the American people. Wilson was a tool of the International bankers.

Germany had been no threat to the United States, none whatsoever.

FDR was the second President who, like Wilson, maneuvered us into another foreign war. That, too, was made to appear "un-preventive" and "legal". It was planned that way by the "invisible" alien advisors behind the scenes so as to avoid American majority hostility against the Roosevelt Administration. The New Deal plan for war against Germany had its origin in the 1930s.

From 1937-38 on, the New Deal Administration accused Germany of being the "Aggressor" nation in Europe. At the same time Japan was designated as the "Aggressor" in Asia. These two nations had been placed under economic "quarantine" by the New Deal. It involved an international trade boycott and embargo which prompted Japan to attack on December 7, 1941, our U.S. military installations at Pearl Harbor and at other American out-post bases in the Pacific area.

Our country faced no such military danger or peril from Germany and Japan through the 1930s. Yet, the New Deal applied economic sanctions which contributed so vastly toward priming World War II.

Under the Constitution of the United States, our Presidents, as was in the case of Woodrow Wilson, FDR, Truman, and Johnson, had no authority with, or without, the consent of Congress to make war in and for the defense of foreign nations.

Only the Congress of the United States has the power to declare war, not the President. And not even the Congress has the authority to make war . . . unless the United States is in immediate and imminent danger and peril or threatened with foreign invasion militarily.

And think of all the foreign alliances, unlawful entanglements, alien commitments, and unconstitutional wars under Executive Agreements that this nation has been dragooned into since and covering the era of World War II.

LBJ once stated: "We are in Vietnam to fulfill one of the most solemn pledges of the American nation. Three Presidents — Eisenhower, Kennedy and Johnson over 11 years, have committed themselves and have promised to help defend this small and valiant nation . . . We cannot now dishonor our word or abandon our commitment . . ."

Our Presidents do dishonor their oath to our Constitution and to the American people, who were not a party to their presidential commitments. If these three Presidents had been obeying our Constitutional rather than the alien United Nations' Charter then our troops would not be in Vietnam.

Under the Constitution of the United States, no American president has the authority to send this country's troops across the seas and across international boundary lines to fight in foreign wars without first the Congress having officially declared war.

The reasons given by LBJ for our involvement in Vietnam's civil war is neither Constitutional nor lawful.

But while our boys are now fighting and dying in Vietnam, they should be allowed to fight that war without any restrictions or limitations whatsoever in order to win.

If the United States is to restore its sovereignty and independence and freedom, it will have to repudiate the Communist United Nations' Charter and revoke that alien and unlawful treaty which is keeping us in the "Captive Colony" status of the United Nations and which gives that alien World body power over our people, our actions, and our armed forces.